# Comparative Study on Dynamics of CBOE VIX® and India VIX

Priyanka Sharma Ex-Consultant, PWC Bakipore

### Abstract

The present study endeavors to compare the dynamics of CBOE and India VIX using a simple plausible framework. The study by way of showing graphical analysis for visual inspection, comparison of descriptive statistics and check of normality assumptions shows that the volatility indices of both CBOE and NIFTY shows similar features. It is more sensitive towards the negative shock in stock prices as compared to positive jolts. The instances of asymmetry between stock index and VIX as reflected by the previous studies also found to be present over the period of study.

**Keywords:** Stock Markets, Volatility Index, Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH)

### Introduction

In the recent times, burgeoning scholarly work on analysis of stock market volatility in the domain of finance and economics literature is fairly evident. It has been one of the extensively researched areas by both academicians and practitioners across the globe for its impending implications on the financial system of an economy. To understand the probable volatility sentience in the stock markets an indicative measure/standard was ought to be set which is known as the Volatility Index (VIX).

The Chicago Board of Exchange (CBOE) introduced CBOE VIX<sup>®</sup> in the year 1993, which was intended to act as an assessment measure of expectation of the market of a short-term (30 days) volatility implied by at-the-money Standard & Poor's (S&P) 100<sup>®</sup> Index (OEX<sup>®</sup> Index) option prices. In 2003, CBOE in association with Goldman Sachs upgraded the VIX to come up with a new measure of expected volatility, that is broadly used by financial theorists, risk managers and volatility traders in a similar way. The modified VIX is based on the S&P 500<sup>®</sup> Index (SPX<sup>SM</sup>), the core index for U.S. equities, and the expected volatility is estimated by averaging the weighed price of SPX puts and calls over a wide range of strike prices. This measure of volatility (VIX<sup>®</sup>) has been referred as the fear estimate of investors, because of the very fact that high level of VIX corresponded to higher scale of market instability (Whaley, 2000).

In the context of India, India VIX (IVIX) is used which is computed by National Stock Exchange (NSE) based on the order book of NIFTY options. For the purpose the best bid-ask quotes of near and nextmonth NIFTY option contracts which are traded on the Futures and Options (F&O) segment of NSE is used.

Traditionally one of the underlying reasons of volatility as put forth by noted academicians is arrival of a new piece of information in the market which takes us back to the axioms of Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH). Fama (1970) discernibly ratified EMH. Fama (1970) states that market in which the stock prices always "fully reflect" available information is called "efficient". In such a form of market, when a new piece of information enter, the asset prices accurately respond to that information and integrate all information at any point of time and reach a new level of equilibrium. The theory of rational expectation is grounded upon the rational expectation theory. This theory assumes that investors attain at a rational expectation estimate about future security return. According to Fama (1970), expected returns represent the conditions of market equilibrium and such 'expected returns equilibrium is function of its risk'. Following Fama (1970) has represented return on asset as:

$$\mathbf{SR}_{t} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{t-1} \left( \mathbf{y}_{t}^{m} \right) + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{t} \tag{1.1}$$

Where, **SR**<sub>t</sub> is stock returns,  $\psi_{t-1}$  ( $\mathbf{y}^{m}_{t}$ ) represents equilibrium return expected at  $_{t-1}$  period,  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{t}$  is the abnormal component. To attain at the equilibrium level market captures information. Assume  $\mathbf{I}_{t-1}$  to represent information set. Then the equation stands:

$$\psi_{t-1} \left( \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\mathsf{m}} \right) = \psi(\mathbf{y}_{t}^{\mathsf{m}} | \mathbf{I}_{t-1}) \tag{1.2}$$

The equation (1.2) implies that the stock market would stand efficient when it uses all relevant information accurately and timely in determining the market price.

The prominent works of other researchers negate the fact that the prime cause of volatility is new information arrival (French and Roll, 1986). The subsequent studies have introduced various other causal factors such as investor behavior, financial performance of organizations, dynamics of macroeconomic variables and dissemination of other public information [refer Ross (1989), Andersen et al. (2006), Sen, Das and Goyal (2015)].

The previous literature also provides evidences on a significant negative correlation between stock returns and VIX with due cogency. Further the traces of asymmetric relationship between stock returns and implied volatility (i.e. higher magnitude of implied volatility on negative return shocks as compared to the positive return jolt) is also laid down (Shaikh and Padhi, 2014, Chandra and Thenmozhi, 2015). The present study endeavors to examine this phenomenon of asymmetric relationship and compare descriptive statistics of IVIX with the CBOE VIX<sup>®</sup> as benchmark to appraise the performance of IVIX as a measure of market volatility.

The next segment of the study briefly revisits the intellectual

efforts of previous researchers, followed by the comparison of statistical properties of CBOE and IVIX and finally the results are discussed in the end segment.

## **Brief Overview of Previous Literature**

In the vast literature of financial economics and allied domains considerable empirical works are reported, a snapshot of which presented as below:

Whaley (2009) in his study says that volatility index is affected by increased demand to buy index, and hence, change in volatility index rises at a higher absolute rate in a situation where stock market falls than when it tends to rise. The empirical findings of the study support the view that the volatility index is to be assumed as investor's fear index rather greed index. Followed by evidences on large negative contemporaneous correlation between volatility index and market return index Dash and Moran (2005) in their study finds a negative correlation between volatility index (VXO) and hedge fund returns, further this correlation was found asymmetric in nature. On the other hand Guo and whitelaw (2006) confirms that market returns are positively related to volatility index. In the literature base there exists some contradiction to the literature as well. Dowling and Muthuswamy (2005) in their study on Australian markets found no asymmetry between volatility and market index returns. Recent studies in Indian context, Kumar (2010) observed negative relationship between market returns and volatility only during market declines, Shaikh and Padhi (2014) concludes asymmetry prevails among IVIX and the NIFTY index; at the same time the magnitude of asymmetry is not identical. The result show that the change in IVIX occurs bigger for the negative return shocks than that of positive return shocks. Chandra and Thenmozhi (2015) examines the asymmetric relationship between IVIX and stock market returns and demonstrates that NIFTY returns are negatively related to changes in IVIX levels, but in the case of high upward movements in the market, the returns on the two indices tend to move independently.

## **Examining the Statistical Properties**

# **Graphical Analysis**

This section of the study deals with the statistical property check for both IVIX and CBOE VIX for the purpose of intercomparison. The study is conducted for a period of 6 years i.e. from 28/08/2009 to 25/08/2015 for India and for U.S. the study period is 31/07/2009 to 31/07/2015. The Indian data is obtained from the NSE website and the U.S. data is extracted from the CBOE website. It is intended to study the behavior of VIX and market index returns for both NIFTY and SPX<sup>SM</sup>.



Figure 1. Inter-temporal Relationship between India VIX and NIFTY Index

The figure 1 above is the time-series plot of IVIX and NIFTY index for the period of study. The figure by a simple visual inspection reveals that IVIX is more sensitive to

decline in index as compared to the rise. Hence, the connotation of fear index as proposed by Whaley found to be evident in this case.



Figure 2. Inter-temporal Relationship between CBOE VIX® and SPXSM Index

The figure 2 reflects the similar phenomena as reflected by figure 1. Thus it can be seen that asymmetry prevails in both the cases. A simple graphical analysis confirms that volatility index is hyper-sensitive to negative return shocks to positive return trends, which is consistent to the previous literature.

**Descriptive Statistics and Test of Normality** 

# Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of NIFTY, IVIX and Market I ndex Return

NIFTY		Ινιχ		Market Index Return	
Mean	6110.674262	Mean	20,3631057	Mean	0.000343
Standard Error	30.66501872	Standard Error	0.133727701	Standard Error	0.000278
Median	5724.225	Median	19.38875	Median	0.00046
Mode	5274.85	Mode	16.82	Mode	#N/A
Standard Deviation	1183.685611	Standard Deviation	5.161958539	Standard Deviation	0.010734

Sample Variance	1401111,626	Sample Variance	26.64581596	Sample Variance	0.000115
Kurtosis	-0.213121967	Kurtosis	-0.167031402	Kurtosis	1.407901
Skewness	1.052187874	Skewness	0.70100997	Skewness	-0.15996
Range	4452.05	Range	26.14	Range	0.098352
Minimum	4544.2	Minimum	11.565	Minimum	-0.06097
Maximum	8996.25	Maximum	37.705	Maximum	0.03738
Sum	9104904.65	Sum	30341.0275	Sum	0.509995
Count	1490	Count	1490	Count	1489
Confidence Level(95.0%)	60.15122528	Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.262314696	Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.000546

The above output in table 1 show the average volatility is approximately 20% with a standard deviation of 5.16% (approx.). The modal value i.e. most occurring volatility rate is 16.82%. The minimum and maximum volatility stood to be 11.565% and 37.705% respectively.

The table 2 below shows the descriptive statistics for the U.S. data which shows the mean volatility is around 18.68% with a standard deviation of 6.09%. The value of mode is approximately 12.66%. However the minimum and the maximum values varies significantly, it is 10.32 and 48 respectively.

# Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of SPX, VIX and Market Index Return

SPX	VIX			Market Index Return		
-						
Mean	1494.41751	Mean	18.6847651	Mean	-0.00032	
Standard Error	8.792871372	Standard Error	0.15785699	Standard Error	0.001847	
Median	1391.01	Median	17.07	Median	-0.006	
Mode	1178.1	Mode	12,66	Mode	0	
Standard Deviation	339.4093908	Standard Deviation	6.0933616	Standard Deviation	0.071268	
Sample Variance	115198.7346	Sample Variance	37.12905559	Sample Variance	0.005079	
Kurtosis	-1.156798979	Kurtosis	2.494984524	Kurtosis	3.275791	
Skewness	0.442926915	Skewness	1.506471998	Skewness	0.711877	
Range	1151.09	Range	37.68	Range	0.756054	
Minimum	979.73	Minimum	10.32	Minimum	-0.35059	
Maximum	2130.82	Maximum	48	Maximum	0.405465	
Sum	2226682.09	Sum	27840.3	Sum	-0.47682	
Count	1490	Count	1490	Count	1489	
Confidence Level(95.0%)	17.24773077	Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.309645706	Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.003623	

	Shapiro-Wilk			
	Statistic	df	Sig.	
NIFTY	.838	1489	.000	
ινιχ	.951	1489	.000	
Market_Index_Return	.988	1489	.000	

Table 3. Tests of Normality for NIFTY, IVIX and Market Index Return

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

The Shapiro-Wilk test shows the p-values<0.05 and hence tests. all the three variables are found to be failing the normality



## Figure 3. Histogram of NIFTY, IVIX and Market Index Return

The histogram in figure 3 for NIFTY appears symmetric with two modes, the IVIX as depicted above shows a right-skewed distribution. The histogram for market index return

appears normal but since it failed the normality check can be assumed to be a leptokurtic distribution.

Table 4. Tests of Normality for NIFTY, IVIX and Market Index Return

Shapiro-Wilk			
Statistic	dſ	Sig.	
.913	1510	.000	
.867	1510	.000	
.954	1510	.000	
	Statistic .913 .867 .954	Shapiro-Wilk   Statistic df   .913 1510   .867 1510   .954 1510	

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

The test for the U.S. data also fails the normality assumption. Further the histograms below show the similar depictions as above for the Indian data.



Figure 4. Histogram of SPX, VIX and Market Index Return

Figure 4 the histogram for SPX, VIX and Market index returns depicts almost similar result as for the Indian data.

## **Findings and Conclusion**

The study intended to compare the dynamics of IVIX and VIX. The study adopted a very simple plausible framework of comparison i.e. visual interpretation of financial timeseries plotting and comparison of descriptive statistics and normality check. The outcome of the study provides the evidence that the dynamics of volatility indices and other variables considered for the purpose of this micro-level study found to be quite similar. The time-series plot for both set data confirmed the findings of the previous studies that volatility indices are more sensitive for negative shocks. However the magnitude of upward or downward movement is a matter of further research using econometric tools. The normality tests were found to be somewhat replica to each other. Due to time constraints only 6 years could be taken as a sample which is a very small period of study indeed to generalize the findings. Hence, with increased time-horizon and using more sophisticated econometric techniques the results can be made far convincing.

## References

- Bagchi, D. (2012). Cross-sectional analysis of emerging market volatility index (India VIX) with portfolio returns. International Journal of Emerging Markets,7(4), 383-396.
- Chandra, A., & Thenmozhi, M. (2014). On asymmetric relationship of India volatility index (India VIX) with stock market return and risk management. Decision, 42(1), 33-55.
- Dowling, S., & Muthuswamy, J. (2005). The implied

volatility of Australian index options. Available at SSRN 500165.

- Dumas, B., Fleming, J., & Whaley, R. E. (1998). Implied volatility functions: Empirical tests. The Journal of Finance, 53(6), 2059-2106.
- Fleming, J., Ostdiek, B., & Whaley, R. E. (1995). Predicting stock market volatility: a new measure. Journal of Futures Markets, 15(3), 265-302.
- French, K. R., & Roll, R. (1986). Stock return variances: The arrival of information and the reaction of traders. Journal of financial economics, 17(1), 5-26.
- Kumar, S. S. S. (2010). The Behaviour of India's Volatility Index. ii Editorial Viewpoint 1 Building Institutions: Lessons of Experience Samuel Paul 6 Institution Building, 2(2), 27.
- Sarwar, G. (2012). Intertemporal relations between the market volatility index and stock index returns. Applied Financial Economics, 22(11), 899-909.
- Shaikh, I., & Padhi, P. (2014). Inter-temporal relationship between India VIX and Nifty equity index. Decision, 41(4), 439-448.
- Skiadopoulos, G. (2004). The Greek implied volatility index: construction and properties. Applied Financial Economics, 14(16), 1187-1196.
- Whaley, R. E. (2000). The investor fear gauge. The Journal of Portfolio Management, 26(3), 12-17.
- Whaley, R. E. (2008). Understanding VIX. Available at SSRN 1296743.