# Microfinance and Women empowerment: A Brief Review of Literature

# Shagufta Tariq

Research Scholar (Ph.D), Department of Commerce, University of Kashmir

# Prof. Mohi-ud-Din Sangmi

Professor,
Department of Commerce,
University of Kashmir

# **Abstract**

The study attempts to investigate the impact of Microfinance on empowerment of marginalized women in poverty. Based on empirical findings of existing relevant literature across the globe, the study finds that participation of women in Microfinance more particularly through Self Help Groups (SHGs) empowers them under the domains of economic, social, psychological and political. Apart from the benefits women derive from Microfinance, there are evidences regarding disempowerment as well, such as increased workload for women, lack of control over income and assets and also negative impact on children's education. Hence, whether Microfinance empowers or disempowers women needs further empirical investigation, to trace out the fair linkage between Microfinance and women empowerment assigning proper weights to various dimensions of empowerment.

**Keywords:** Microfinance; SHGs; women empowerment; empowerment domains

# Introduction

Even though India's GDP has been growing at a faster rate, but such a growth has been neglecting large section of poor and underprivileged people. Inclusive growth has been much emphasized since 11th Five Year Plan and so Financial Inclusion has been the policy measure towards it (Rao, 2009). Microfinance is one of the major and effective instrument towards Financial Inclusion which provides economic opportunities to weaker strata of the society by ensuring access to necessary financial services with the purpose to eradicate the poverty and raise standard of living for the poor (Suprabha, 2014). However, as per Census 2011, nearly half of the India's population consists of women who mainly remain marginalized because of their poor access to education, employment and health (Argiropoulos and Rajagopal, 2003). Increasing income and employment as well as economic empowerment of women are the immediate issues to bring women into the mainstream of economic development. In this direction, an initiative was taken by Self Employed Women's Association (SEWA) in the year 1972 by improving economic status of women (Mehra, 1997). Microfinance is an important tool to meet financial needs of the poor especially women and reduce their dependence on informal sources of finance who otherwise are not bankable due to poor access to collateral and thus rely on moneylenders for financial assistance at exorbitant interest rates (Khandker, 2000). In addition, Microfinance

through Self Help Groups (SHGs) is more effective strategy for poverty alleviation and empowerment of women (Vatta, 2003). Hence, an initiative taken by apex development bank, National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), as a pilot project has been the Self Help Group – Bank Linkage Programme launched in 1992. The Programme links the SHGs to banks for providing basic financial services once the SHGs mobilize savings and become eligible for credit. The Programme has been witnessing increase in savings, income, consumption expenditure and empowerment of women. In fact, the entire household is benefited where women are the members of SHGs (Sinha, Parida, & Baurah, 2012). Further, women's desire for financial independence and decision making as well as the responsibility towards children are the motives to take active part in economic activities.

#### What is Microfinance?

Microfinance ensures small collateral-free loans to poor people who have no access to banks or other formal financial institutions (Vatta, 2003). Microfinance refers to loans, savings, insurance, transfer services and other financial products of small denomination targeted at lowincome clients (Khandelwal, 2007). However, Microfinance by providing financial services combined with non-financial services such as training, marketing knowledge and awareness is more effective to trace positive impact on poverty reduction and living standard of the weaker sections of the society (Suprabha, 2014). Hence, it is the Microcredit Plus program consisting of both credit as well as non-credit aspects that is considered not only a way to generate economic growth and sustainable development but also a tool towards social development goals thought to be at the very roots of women's persistent 'under-development' (Sigalla and Carney, 2012).

# **Empowerment Concept**

Kabeer (1999) views empowerment as the process in which people acquire such an ability to exercise strategic life choices what has been previously denied to them i.e., a person must be disempowered in the first place. Kabeer's view of empowerment incorporates three inter-related dimensions of Resource, Agency and Achievements. The Resource dimension (access to both material as well as non-material resources) of empowerment is taken as a precondition to exercise Agency (process of decision making, negotiation, deception, manipulation and bargaining) which results in Achievements (well-being outcomes). Schuler and Rottach (2010) define empowerment as a dynamic process which is difficult to measure as is effected by economic, social and political changes. They, further,

found that one set of empowerment indicators in one setting may be invalid in another setting. Afridi (2010) defined empowerment as the autonomy with which one has the freedom to make own decisions, access to resources and the ability to exercise degree of control as well over the use of such resources. In an empirical investigation of 49 international development organizations across globe and based on their narratives, Hennink, Kiiti, Pillinger, & Jayakaran (2012) concluded that empowerment is a complex and multifaceted process which occurs at individual, community and organizational level. The empowerment process is fostered by knowledge, agency, capacity building, opportunity, resources and sustainability. The complete measure of empowerment comprises of the domains of health, economic, political, natural resources and spiritual which are interlinked to each other. Empowerment must be measured in a holistic way, for empowerment considering single domain is insufficient for sustained development. Ganle, Afriyie, & Segbefia (2015) defined women empowerment in terms of Material matrix (possession of both measurable as well as non-measurable elements), Relational matrix (relationship as well as interaction between women and other members in the household and community) and Perceptual matrix (women's assessment of their status in household and community). It is evident from the literature that empowerment is a multidimensional concept and thus women empowerment is evaluated under four widely agreed dimensions: economic, social, political and psychological. In the study of impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment, these dimensions are reviewed as under:-

#### **Economic Domain**

In an earlier study, Pitt and Khandker (1998) concluded that 1 per cent taka increase in credit provided to women lead to 18 per cent taka increase in household consumption expenditure as compared to only 11 per cent taka increase when additional credit is provided to men. Hoque and Itohara (2009) also supported that the income as well as access to resources increased for women members of micro-credit organization as reported by 87 per cent of women members. The study using both qualitative as well as quantitative approach reveals that increase in women's expenditure decisions, ownership of assets and access to resources are the important outcomes of accessing Microfinance (Haile, 2012). Moreover, it is group based credit (providing credit to number of individuals together) which increases non-land assets of women. Sreeramulu and Hushenkhan (2008) appreciate the role of SHGs in facilitating women members to undertake economic activities through which these women generate more income than the income of non-SHG women who earn

from traditional work. Moyle's (2006) quantitative analysis on SHGs showed, with increasing membership of women in SHGs, the income generated from patchwork increased which further contributed to household income. In another study of women SHGs, Lavoori and Paramanik report that micro-loans increase women's income\ employment generation. Examining SHG participants, Swain and Wallentin (2009) in their study also revealed that independent savings for women increased over time. Along with savings, participation in Microfinance increases women's average monthly income (Lokhande, 2013; Arku and Arku, 2009). Another study of women SHGs reports increase in asset possession, savings and utilization of loans in improving standard of living (Malagave and Patil, 2011). With Microcredit, clients report increased profits and sales within their Microenterprises more particularly in rural areas (Montgomerry and Weiss, 2011) and microcredit programmes also improved women's financing decisions and transaction management (Pitt, Khandker, & Cartwright, 2006).

Hence, in addition to increased income, consumption expenditure, savings and asset generation, women members of credit organization who exert significant control over own resources and thus contribute to family income are more empowered compared to that of comparison group (Hashemi, Schuler, & Riley, 1996). Women under Microfinance programme have been found utilizing loans properly, taking part in purchase and expenditure decisions and exerting significant control over their own savings in all the areas of urban, semi-urban and rural areas (Weber and Ahmad, 2014). Studies also suggest that only women who engage themselves in some business or exercise full control over loans are actually empowered. However, those other women who access loans with the purpose to start a new venture but later on fail having their loans diverted to consumption needs or exercise no control over loans are disempowered (Ganle, Afriyie, & Segbefia, 2015). However, in an earlier study Goetz and Gupta (1996) concluded that 63 per cent of women in Microfinance exercised either partial or no control over loan use as most of the women's loans were utilized as well as controlled by male members in the households of Bangladesh. Kumar (2013) also finds that Microfinance increases income as well as consumption expenditure for women clients but lack control over resources and assets. In a comparative study of borrowers and non-borrowers of micro-credit, Rahman, Junankar, & Mallik (2009) confirm that no significant differences in terms of economic security and control over assets are observed among borrowers and non-borrowers. However, women's access to and control over income does not increase significantly due to cultural constraints (Khan and Bibi, 2011). While in some cases the control over financial assets does increase

for micro-credit recipients (Li, Gan, & Hu, 2011). In addition, though women lack control over loan and its use, it is the women who are solely responsible for repaying the loans by selling out their own assets while leaving them more vulnerable as their loan gets diverted to household productive and consumption needs (Garikipati, 2013 and 2008). Thus, it is the entire family which is benefited economically and not the women concerned (Kabeer, 2001). Leach and Sitaram (2002) confirm that providing Microfinance along with training increases income and control over resources in the short run. Moreover, Microfinance provides economic opportunities to women by creating jobs and employment, has also been frequently emphasized (Chhay, 2011; Muhammad, Shaheen, Naqvi, & Zehra, 2012).

# **Social Domain**

Microfinance can benefit women socially (Kabeer, 2001). In a household survey of both programme and nonprogramme villages, Mahmud (2003) found only limited effect of women's participation on access to resources education, employment and mobility but substantial improvement on their agency regarding access to income and intra-household decision making which further enhanced their welfare. Hashemi, Schuler, & Riley (1996) in an earlier study of Bangladesh also concluded that women's participation in credit organizations increased their mobility, ability to make small as well as large purchases, and a say in household decision making. Another study also concluded participation of women in micro-credit organization leads to increase in family decision making and the study found institutional participation, media exposure and family landholdings as important determinants of women empowerment (Hoque and Itohara, 2009). The savings impact is more on women than male clients and thus women's say in household decision making improves regarding small as well as large purchases, desire for number of children, personal use, recreation, schooling for children and so on (Ashraf, Karlan, & Yin, 2010). Malagave and Patil (2011), state with increasing contribution of women to household income, the decision making power in households, knowledge regarding banking, health and sanitation, family planning, income generation and property management are all increased for women in SHGs. Also, women acquire numerical skills and ability to read and write. However, participation of women loanies in major household decisions is doubtful (Kumar, 2012). In rural areas, in particular, decision making power and children's education improve for women Microfinance clients (Montgomery and Weiss, 2011). However, decision making power is more pronounced for women who remain in Microfinance for longer time usually more than two

years (Weber and Ahmad, 2014). Since, in the initial years of being in Microfinance women's status and quality of life improves (Leach and Sitaram, 2002). With the increasing role of women in household decision making, resource allocation and improvement in children's education, participation in Microfinance can also increase workload for women as well as for children especially that of daughters and can also increase marital conflicts (Arku and Arku, 2009; Haile, Bock, & Folmer, 2012). However, in some cases, reduction in workload for women is also evident and also verbal and physical abuse reduced for women having availed Microfinance (Khan and Bibi, 2011; Swain and Wallentin, 2009). Moreover, Microfinance has increased proportion of women in higher education (Muhammad, Shaheen, Naqvi, & Zehra, 2012). Participation in Micro-credit programmes and attending number of SHG meetings increases women's mobility and networks, purchasing decisions and facilitate their participation in anti-dowry and alcoholism campaigns and other community programmes (Li, Gan, & Hu, 2011; Rahman, Junankar, & Mallik, 2009; Lavoori and Paramanik, 2014; Lokande, 2013; Sinha, Parida, Baurah, 2012). However, microcredit to men can negatively affect women's social empowerment (Pitt, Khandker, & Cartwright, 2006). Further, there is a need of integrated Microfinance package consisting of education with training to increase capacity building of women and to strengthen the link between microfinance and empowerment of women (Ali and Hatta, 2012).

# **Psychological Domain**

The Psychological/personal empowerment refers to the process of gaining positive changes in the person's inner feelings which includes self-confidence, self-esteem, selfefficacy, satisfaction and so on. Kabeer (2001) concludes, based on testimonies of both male and female, that woman is personally more benefited with microcredit. Participation in Microfinance improves women's decision making power about marriage, contraceptive use and family planning as well as women's health also shows improvements (Montgomery and Weiss, 2011). Further, women who are borrowers are more inclined to utilize health insurance as compared to when men are borrowers (Rai and Ravi, 2011). Women's participation in credit programmes does have an influence on household attitude towards women and women usually notice a positive change in their husband's behavior. In addition, women have a greater role in fertility and parenting decisions (Pitt, Khandker, & Cartwright, 2006; Amin, Hill, & Li, 1995). Hashemi, Schuler, & Riley (1996) also found that rural women's participation in credit organization increased their confidence to talk to outsiders and further enhanced their sense of self-worth. Moreover, through NABARDs

Self Help Group- Bank Linkage Programme women's personality improves in terms of self-confidence, selfesteem, decision making and capacity building (Lokhande, 2013; Sinha, Parida, & Baurah, 2012). It is evident from the literature that SHGs has a positive impact on personal empowerment of women in terms of collective efficacy, proactive attitude, self-esteem, psychological well being and self-efficacy but further support of qualitative analysis revealed that psychological stress and strain increased for women in SHGs due to long working hours and high concern about quality of the products (Moyle, 2006). However, women in Microfinance are no longer emotionally abused by male members or their in-laws (Swain and Wallentin, 2009). Further, comparing women with Microfinance intervention and women without such intervention, Hansen (2015), states that Microfinance increases women's psychological capacity for actions considering the aspects of personal control beliefs and social network which further strengthens when training intervention is combined with Microfinance.

#### **Political Domain**

Access to Microfinance results in increasing participation of women in political issues (Montgomery and Weiss, 2011). Microfinance has a greater impact on women's activism i.e. awareness about laws and politics as well as on women's freedom (Pitt, Khandker, & Cartwright, 2006; Li, Gan, & Hu, 2011; Rahman, Junankar, & Mallik 2009). Comparing women in intervention villages with women of non-intervention villages, Hashemi, Schuler, & Riley (1996) proved that it is the participation of rural women in credit organizations that increased their legal and political awareness and became more active in public campaigns and protests for the benefit of the society. More specifically, after being the members of SHGs women's communication improves and become politically active and more aware about their rights (Swain and Wallentin, 2012 and 2009). Malagave and Patil (2011) also support the greater role of SHGs in empowering women. They claimed that women being the member of SHGs cast their votes in Mandal Panchayat Elections, Member Elections and big elections, thus decide their political representatives of their own choice. Women after joining SHGs start contesting elections independently. Other researchers, though, believe that it is only through SHGs women access credit and undertake economic activities through which they generate independent income that facilitate women to bear minimum amount to be spend on contesting elections in Panchayat Institutions. Hence, women's poverty is reduced through SHGs and economic activities and consequently more and more women are coming forward to take active part in local bodies. They also state, empowered SHG women attend meetings of Grama Sabha and collectively

demand host of other developmental schemes and programs for their villages (Sreeramulu and Hushenkhan, 2008). Further, Microfinance facilitates women to attend number of political meetings, participate in election campaigns, contest elections and take active part in nomination as elected members of Zilla Parishad (Lokhande, 2013).

# Conclusion

Microfinance through SHGs is an effective instrument to meet basic financial needs of the weaker sections of the society such as women, who otherwise rely on informal sources of finance at exorbitant interest rates. Microfinance ensuring access to financial services of small denomination combined with non-financial services is an important strategy to effectively empower women. After a survey into existing literature considering economic domain, women under Microfinance interventions not only report increase in own income, savings and assets but also exercise control over such economic resources. Under social domain, being a participant of Microfinance, women's decision making power in the household, mobility, education improves and contributes towards children's education as well. While as under psychological domain, women's self-confidence, self-worth and satisfaction increases. And under political domain, women after participation in Microfinance started casting votes independently, contesting elections and participating in various campaigns for community welfare. Thus, it is confirmed that Microfinance has empowered women holistically, though the economic empowerment of women has been dominantly emphasized and linked to Microfinance as it is considered as a basic route to overall empowerment of disadvantaged women.

**Future Directions:** It has been confirmed, though, microfinance has the great potential to empower women in various domains but the existing evidence is not completely free from negative impacts of microfinance sector on the marginalized women such as increased workload and girl children's education. It is still unclear whether microfinance has benefited women in all spheres or not. In this direction, an empirical study using the first hand data is needed particularly in the context of developing nations to further support the notion that microfinance has really empowered women in a holistic way.

#### References

Afridi, Farzana. 2010. "Women's Empowerment and The Goal of Parity between Sexes in Schooling in India," Population Studies 64:131-145 Accessed 21 January 2016 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2574 9144

- Amin, Ruhul, Becker Stan and Bayes Ahdul. 1998. "NGO-Promoted Microcredit Programs and Women's Empowerment in Rural Bangladesh: Quantitative and Qualitative Evidence," The Journal of Developing Areas 32:221-236 Accessed 15 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/4192
- Amin, Ruhul, Hill Robert B. and Li Yipping. 1995. "Poor Women's Participation in Credit- Based Self Employment: The Impact on Their Empowerment, Fertility, Contraceptive Use and Fertility Desire in Rural Bangladesh," The Pakistan Development Review 34:93-119 Accessed: 15 February 2015 URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41259852
- Argiropoulos, Catherine and Rajagopal Indnu. 2003. "Women in Poverty: Canada and India," Economic and Political Weekly 38:612-614 Accessed 02 January 2016 http://www.jstor.org/ stable/4413213
- Arku, Cynthia and Arku Frank S. 2009. "More Money, New Household Cultural Dynamics: Women in Microfinance in Ghana," Development in Practice 19:200-213 Accessed 13 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/27752037
- Ashraf, Nava, Karlan Dean and Yin Wesley. 2010. "Female Empowerment: Impact of A Commitment Savings Product in the Philippines," World Development 38:333-344 doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.05.010
- Chhay, Darakha. 2011. "Women's Economic Empowerment through Microfinance in Cambodia," Development in Practice 21:1122-1137 DOI: 10.1080/09614524.2011.606891
- Galab, S. and Rao N. Chandrasekhara. 2003. "Women's Self Help Groups, Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment," Economic and Political Weekly 38:1274-1283 Accessed 14 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/4413378
- Ganle, John Kuumuori, Afriyie Kwadwo and Segbefia Alexander Yao. 2015. "Microcredit: Empowerment and Disempowerment of Rural Women in Ghana," World Development 66: 335-345 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.027
- Garikipati, Supriya. 2008. "The Impact of Lending to Womenon Household Vulnerability and Women's Empowerment: Evidence from India," World Development 36:2620-2642 DOI:10.1016/j. worlddev.2007.11.008

- Garikipati, Supriya. 2013. "Microcredit and Women's Empowerment: Have We Been Looking at the Wrong Indicators," Oxford Development Studies 41:53-75 DOI:10.1080/13600818.2012.744387
- Goetz, Anne Marie and Gupta Rina Sen. 1996. "Who Takes The Credit" Gender, Power and Control Over Loan Use in Rural Credit Programs in Bangladesh," World Development 24:45-63
- Haile, Hirut Bekele, Bock Bettina and Folmer Henk. 2012. "Microfinance and Female Empowerment: Do Institutions Matter" Women's Studies International Forum 35:256-265 DOI:10.1016/j.wsif.2012. 04.001
- Hansen, Nina. 2015. "The Development of Psychological Capacity for Action: The Empowering Effect of A Microfinance on Women in Sri-Lanka," Journal of Social Issues 71:597-613 DOI: 10.1111/josi.121
- Hashemi, Syed M., Schuler Sidney Ruth and Riley Ann P. 1996. "Rural Credit Programmes and Women's Empowerment in Bangladesh," World Development 24:635-653
- Hennink, Monique, Kitti Ndunge, Pillinger Mara and Jayakaran Ravi. 2012. "Defining Empowerment: Perspectives from International Development Organizations," Development in Practice 22:202-215 DOI: 10.1080/09614524.2012.640987
- Hoque, Mahmuda and Itohara Yoshihito. 2009. "Women Empowerment through Participation in Micro-Credit Programme: A Case Study from Bangladesh," Journal of Social Sciences 5:244-250
- Kabeer, Naila. 1999. "Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment," Development and Change 30:43 5-464
- Kabeer, Naila. 2002. "Conflicts Over Credit: Re-Evaluating the Empowerment Potential of Loans to Women In Rural Bangladesh," World Development 29:63-84
- Kabeer, Naila. 2005. "Is Microfinance a Magic Bullet' For Women's Empowerment" Analysis of Findings from South Asia," Economic and Political Weekly 4709-4718
- Khan, Abdul Rashid and Bibi Zainab. 2011. "Women's Socio-Economic Empowerment through Participatory Approach: A Critical Assessment," Pakistan Economic and Social Review 49:133-148 Accessed 15 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/41762427

- Khandelwal, Anil K. 2007. "Microfinance Development Strategy for India," Economic and Political Weekly 42:1127-1135 Accessed 13 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/4419411
- Khandker, Shahidur R. 2000. "Savings, Informal Borrowings and Microfinance," The Bangladesh Development Studies 26:49-78 Accessed 04 January 2016 http://www.jstor.org/stable/4079 5612
- Kumar, Lakshmi (2013), "Illusions of Women Empowerment in Microfinance," Economic and Political Weekly 15:70-76
- Laha, Arindam and Kuri Pravat Kumar. 2014. "Measuring the Impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment: A Cross Country Analysis With Special Reference To India," International Journal of Public Administration 37:397-408 DOI: 10.1080/01900692.2013.858354
- Lavoori, Vachya and Paramanik Rajendra Narayan. 2014. "Microfinance Impact on Women's Decision Making: A Case Study of Andhra Pradesh," Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research 4:1-13 http://www.journal-jger.com/content/4/1/11
- Leach, Fiona and Sitaram Shashikala. 2002. "Microfinance and Women Empowerment: A Lesson from India," Development in Practice 12:575-588

  Accessed 14 February 2015 http://www.jstor.org/stable/4029403
- Li, Xia, Gan Christopher and Hu Baiding. 2011. "The Impact of Microcredit on Women's Empowerment: Evidence from China," Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies 9:239-261 DOI: 10.1080/14765284.2011.592352
- Lokhande, Murlidhar A. 2013. "Microfinance for Women Empowerment – A Study of Self Help Group-Bank Linkage Programme," International Center for Business Research 2:159-166
- Mahmud, Simeen. 2003. "Actually How Empowering Is Microcredit"" Development and Change 34:577-605
- Malagave, P. A. and Patil D. A. 2011. "Role of Self Help Groups in Empowering Women Human Rights: A Case of Karnataka," Journal of Indian Association Of Social Science Institution 30:27-39
- Mehra, Rekha. 1997. "Women, Empowerment and Economic Development," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 554:136-149 Accessed 29 December 2015 URL:http://www.jstor.org/stable/1049571

- Montgomery, Heather and Weiss John. 2011. "Can Commercially-Oriented Microfinance Help Meet The Millennium Development Goals" Evidence from Pakistan," World Development 39:87-109 DOI:10.1016/j.worlddev.2010.09.001
- Moyle, Tracey L. 2006. "Personal and Economic Empowerment in Rural Indian Women: A Self Help Group Approach," International Journal of Rural Management 2:245-266 DOI: 10.1177/097300520600200207
- Muhammad, Sulaiman D., Shaheen Ghazala, Naqvi Syed Iqbal Hussain and Zehra Saba. 2012. "Women Empowerment and Microfinance: A Case Study of Pakistan," African Journal of Business Management 6:6497-6503 DOI: 10.5897/AJBM 11.1973
- Pitt, Mark M. and Khandker Shahidur R. 1998. "The Impact of Group-Based Credit Programs on Poor Households in Bangladesh: Does The Gender Of Participants Matter"" Journal of Political Economy 106:958-996
- Pitt, Mark M., Khandker Shahidur R. and Cartwright Jennifer. 2006. "Empowering Women with Micro Finance: Evidence from Bangladesh," Economic Development and Cultural Change 54:791-831 Accessed 15 February 2015 URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/503580.
- Porter Elisabeth. 2013. "Rethinking Women's Empowerment," Journal of Peace Building & Development 8:1-14 DOI: 10.1080/15423166.2013.785657
- Rahman, Sayma, Junankar P. N. and Mallik Girijasankar. 2009. "Factors Influencing Women Empowerment on Microcredit Borrowers: A Case Study in Bangladesh," Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy 14:287-303 DOI: 10.1080/1354786090 2975648
- Rai, Ashok and Ravi Shamika. 2011. "Do Spouses Make Claims" Empowerment and Microfinance in India," World Development 39:913-921 DOI:10. 1016/j.worlddev.2009.10.019
- Rao, C. H. Hanumantha. 2009. "Inclusive Growth: Recent Experiences and Challenges Ahead," Economic and Political Weekly 44:16-21 Accessed 02 January 2016 URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/ 40278655
- Schuler, Sidney Ruth and Rottach Elisabeth. 2010. "Women's Empowerment Revisited: A Case Study from Bangladesh," Development in

- Practice 20:840-854 Accessed 15 February 2015 URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20787353
- Sigalla, Rachel Jactan and Carney Stephen. 2012. "Poverty Reduction through Entrepreneurship: Micro credit, Learning and Ambivalence amongst Women in Urban Tanzania," International Journal of Educational Development 32:546-554 DOI:10. 1016/j.ijedudev.2012.02.011
- Sinha, Anushree, Parida Purna Chandra and Bauran Palash. 2012. "The Impact of NABARD's Self Help Group – Bank Linkage Programme on Poverty Empowerment in India," Cotemporary South Asia 20:487-510 DOI: 10.1080/09584935.2012. 737306
- Sreeramulu, Gooru and Hushenkhan P. 2008. "Political Empowerment of Women through Self Help Groups (SHGS): A Study in Andhra Pradesh," The Indian Journal of Political Science 69:609-617 Accessed 27 January 2016 URL:http://www.jstor.org/stable/41856449
- Suprabha, R. K. 2014. "Empowerment of Self Help Groups (SHGs) Towards Microenterprise Development," Procedia Economics and Finance 11:410-422 DOI: 10.1016/S2212-5671(14)00208-1
- Swain, Ranjula Bali and Wallentin Fan Yang. 2009. "Does Microfinance Empower Women" Evidence From Self Help Groups in India," International Review of Applied Economics 23:541-556 DOI: 10.1080/02692170903007540
- Swain, Ranjula Bali and Wallentin Fan Yang. 2012. "Factors Empowering Women in Indian Self Help Group Programs," International Review of Applied Economics 26:425-444 DOI: 10.1080/02692171.2011.595398
- Vatta, Kamal. 2003. "Microfinance and Poverty Alleviation," Economic and Political Weekly 38:432-433 Accessed 04 January 2016 URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/4413155
- Weber, Olaf and Ahmad Adnan. 2014. "Empowerment through Microfinance: The Relation between Loan Cycle and Level of Empowerment," World Development 62:75-87 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.05.012